

From: Richard Fu

Sent: Monday, January 28, 2019 8:56 AM

To: Clerks Richmondhill <clerks@richmondhill.ca>; Dave Barrow <dave.barrow@richmondhill.ca>; Godwin Chan <godwin.chan@richmondhill.ca>; Joe DiPaola <joe.dipaola@richmondhill.ca>; Carmine Perrelli <carmine.perrelli@richmondhill.ca>; Karen Cilevitz <karen.cilevitz@richmondhill.ca>; David West <david.west@richmondhill.ca>; Castro Liu <castro.liu@richmondhill.ca>; Tom Muench <tom.muench@richmondhill.ca>; Greg Beros <greg.beros@richmondhill.ca>

Subject: RE: AGENDA ITEM # 13.1.17 (Cash-in-Lieu of Parkland allocation) and #13.1.18 (Downtown Local Centre Secondary Plan)

Hi there,

I just heard that the town passes two motions captioned. As a long-term resident of Richmond Hill and a father, I don't think these two motions benefit the residents and our environment.

I do NOT support Councillor Muench's motion to reduce Cash-in-lieu of Parkland allocation and his motion to make changes outlined in Option 2 of SPRS.19.023 to the Downtown Local Secondary Plan.

As the representatives of Richmond Hill residents, I hope you could understand we NEED to think about our next generations.

Regards,

Richard

66 Wicker Dr. Richmond Hill L4E 4T5

From: Michael Rabbior

Sent: Monday, January 28, 2019 9:23 AM

To: Dave Barrow <dave.barrow@richmondhill.ca>; Joe DiPaola <joe.dipaola@richmondhill.ca>; Carmine Perrelli <carmine.perrelli@richmondhill.ca>; Godwin Chan <godwin.chan@richmondhill.ca>; Karen Cilevitz <karen.cilevitz@richmondhill.ca>; David West <david.west@richmondhill.ca>; Castro Liu <castro.liu@richmondhill.ca>; Tom Muench <tom.muench@richmondhill.ca>; Greg Beros <greg.beros@richmondhill.ca>; Clerks Richmondhill <clerks@richmondhill.ca>

Subject: Re: AGENDA ITEM # 13.1.17 (Cash-in-Lieu of Parkland allocation) and #13.1.18 (Downtown Local Centre Secondary Plan)

On Mon, Jan 28, 2019 at 9:22 AM Michael Rabbior <> wrote:

Councillors of The Town of Richmond Hill,

Recent motions to reduce cash-in-lieu of Parkland allocation and pedestrian walkways within our Town are very disturbing. I felt that during the last election it was made abundantly clear to council that any reduction in the quality of life standards we have for our Town or any potential increases to the tax burden residents must face are not acceptable.

Council's mandates from residents was clear; keep our Town and its values for quality of life intact and be fiscally responsible to avoid increases in taxes.

Councillor Muench has failed to demonstrate how his motions adhere to either of these mandates and are in fact in direction violation of these mandates. Reducing the responsibility developers have to ensure that our quality of life values are met without any guarantee of housing costs reduction threaten to pass corresponding quality of life costs to tax payers. Reducing pedestrian considerations in favour of more development density further jeopardizes the quality of life within the downtown area.

I do not support Councillor Muench's motion to reduce Cash-in-lieu of Parkland allocation.

I do not support Councillor Muench's motion to make changes outlined in Option 2 of SPRS.19.023 .

Michael Rabbior

74 Wicker Drive

From: Adriana Pisano Beaumont

Sent: Monday, January 28, 2019 9:48 AM

To: Clerks Richmondhill <clerks@richmondhill.ca>; Dave Barrow <dave.barrow@richmondhill.ca>; Joe DiPaola <joe.dipaola@richmondhill.ca>; Carmine Perrelli <carmine.perrelli@richmondhill.ca>; Godwin Chan <godwin.chan@richmondhill.ca>; Karen Cilevitz <karen.cilevitz@richmondhill.ca>; David West <david.west@richmondhill.ca>; Castro Liu <castro.liu@richmondhill.ca>; Tom Muench <tom.muench@richmondhill.ca>; Greg Beros <greg.beros@richmondhill.ca>

Subject: AGENDA ITEM # 13.1.17 (Cash-in-Lieu of Parkland allocation) and #13.1.18 (Downtown Local Centre Secondary Plan)

Good morning,

There seems to be a plan in place to radically alter the vision residents have for our Town and it leaves me wondering about honourable representation.

Two motions, which were passed at last week's Committee of the Whole meeting seems to effectively serve the best interests of developers and builders and severely restricts our ability to allocate parkland and greenspace in the future.

Richmond Hill will be forever changed - for the worse. We didn't vote for this.

1. One motion would decrease the amount developers would have to pay the Town so we can secure parkland for our future. That means taxpayers will be on the hook for an estimated \$179 Million or, the more likely scenario that fewer parks and greenspace will be set aside for us in the future. This only benefits one party: The developers and those in THEIR service, not ours.

2. Another motion seeks to scrap the pedestrian-friendly plan to create connected alleyways in the downtown core - something the commercial landowners and developers have been pushing for - and for which they have now found their "champions". Again, who benefits? Surely not the residents.

We never voted for this - so we are left asking, who is council working for? We elected you. You should be working for us. At the very least, you should be working with us for the common benefit of the residents AND the Town.

Residents participated in public engagement exercises and were clear about the type of Town we want. It appears wholly evident that some members of this Council are unable or unwilling to stand and represent the interests of the majority of residents over those of developers. At the very least, as has already been demonstrated previously, they are unwilling to reach out to the residents and ask their opinion - to debate the merits. This is disturbing.

I would like to be on the public record in expressing my complete opposition to Councillor Muench's motion to reduce Cash-in-lieu of Parkland allocation and that I also do not support the motion to make changes outlined in Option 2 of SPRS.19.023 to the Downtown Local Secondary Plan. This should never have been considered.

Sincerely,

Adriana Pisano Beaumont

62 Wicker Drive

From: Joseph Ip

Sent: Monday, January 28, 2019 10:10 AM

To: Clerks Richmondhill <clerks@richmondhill.ca>

Cc: Dave Barrow <dave.barrow@richmondhill.ca>; joe.dipaola@richmondhill.co;
Carmine Perrelli <carmine.perrelli@richmondhill.ca>; Godwin Chan
<godwin.chan@richmondhill.ca>; Karen Cilevitz <karen.cilevitz@richmondhill.ca>;
David West <david.west@richmondhill.ca>; Castro Liu <castro.liu@richmondhill.ca>;
Greg Beros <greg.beros@richmondhill.ca>; Tom Muench
<tom.muench@richmondhill.ca>

Subject: Re: Protecting our parklands and greenspace in Richmond Hill

I resent this again due to Tom's email was not correct.

Thanks

Joseph

From: Joseph Ip

Sent: January 28, 2019 3:08 PM

To: clerks@richmondhill.ca

Cc: dave.barrow@richmondhill.ca; joe.dipaola@richmondhill.co;
carmine.perrelli@richmondhill.ca; godwin.chan@richmondhill.ca;
karen.cilevitz@richmondhill.ca; David West Ward 4 Councillor;
castro.liu@richmondhill.ca; tom.muench@richmondhil.ca; greg.beros@richmondhill.ca

Subject: Protecting our parklands and greenspace in Richmond Hill

Hi all: this email is to express that I Joseph Ip:

"do not support Councillor Muench's motion to reduce Cash-in-lieu of Parkland allocation" and

"do not support Councillor Muench's motion to make changes outlined in Option 2 of SPRS.19.023 to the Downtown Local Secondary Plan"

Regards

Joseph

81 Brass Drive

From: A Silves

Sent: Monday, January 28, 2019 11:30 AM

To: Clerks Richmondhill <clerks@richmondhill.ca>

Cc: Dave Barrow <dave.barrow@richmondhill.ca>; Carmine Perrelli

<carmine.perrelli@richmondhill.ca>; Godwin Chan <godwin.chan@richmondhill.ca>;

Joe DiPaola <joe.dipaola@richmondhill.ca>; Karen Cilevitz

<karen.cilevitz@richmondhill.ca>; David West <david.west@richmondhill.ca>; Castro

Liu <castro.liu@richmondhill.ca>; Tom Muench <tom.muench@richmondhill.ca>; Greg

Beros <greg.beros@richmondhill.ca>

Subject: RE: AGENDA ITEM # 13.1.17 (Cash-in-Lieu of Parkland allocation) and #13.1.18 (Downtown Local Centre Secondary Plan)

Town Clerks Office,

I have just become recently aware of the AGENDA ITEM #13.17 (Cash-in-Lieu of Parkland allocation) and #13.1.18 (Downtown Local Centre Secondary Plan) and have serious concerns. I do not support Councillor Muench's motion to reduce Cash-in-lieu of Parkland allocation and do not support Councillor Muench's motion to make changes outlined in Option 2 of SPRS.19.023 to the Downtown Local Secondary Plan. I believe that restricting our ability to allocate parkland and greenspace in the future is wrong. The green space and parklands are what attracted my husband I to move from Vaughan to the Town of Richmond Hill to raise our family. I am very disappointed to hear there are motions in place to scrap the pedestrian-friendly plans that were once voted on.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Andrea Cook

56 Wicker Drive

From: M Almeida

Sent: Monday, January 28, 2019 11:32 AM

To: Clerks Richmondhill <clerks@richmondhill.ca>; Dave Barrow <dave.barrow@richmondhill.ca>; Joe DiPaola <joe.dipaola@richmondhill.ca>; Carmine Perrelli <carmine.perrelli@richmondhill.ca>; Godwin Chan <godwin.chan@richmondhill.ca>; Karen Cilevitz <karen.cilevitz@richmondhill.ca>; David West <david.west@richmondhill.ca>; Castro Liu <castro.liu@richmondhill.ca>; Tom Muench <tom.muench@richmondhill.ca>; Greg Beros <greg.beros@richmondhill.ca>

Cc:

Subject: RE: AGENDA ITEM # 13.1.17 (Cash-in-Lieu of Parkland allocation) and #13.1.18 (Downtown Local Centre Secondary Plan)

Good morning,

It has recently come to my attention of The Town of Richmond Hill proposals to increase building infrastructure in Richmond Hill. While I am "for" development and increase in business to the area, I am against the process by which the Richmond Hill Town Council is taking. There must be a balanced approach to development in Richmond Hill.

As such, I would like to state:

1. I do NOT support Councillor Muench's motion to reduce Cash-in-lieu of Parkland allocation
2. I do NOT support Councillor Munech's motion to make changes outlined in Option 2 of SPRS.19.023 to the Downtown Local Secondary Plan

Thank you,
Marcelo Almeida
82 Wicker Dr

From: Ying Liu

Sent: Monday, January 28, 2019 12:03 PM

To: Clerks Richmondhill <clerks@richmondhill.ca>; Dave Barrow <dave.barrow@richmondhill.ca>

Cc: Joe DiPaola <joe.dipaola@richmondhill.ca>; Carmine Perrelli <carmine.perrelli@richmondhill.ca>; Godwin Chan <godwin.chan@richmondhill.ca>; Karen Cilevitz <karen.cilevitz@richmondhill.ca>; David West <david.west@richmondhill.ca>; Castro Liu <castro.liu@richmondhill.ca>; Tom Muench <tom.muench@richmondhill.ca>; Greg Beros <greg.beros@richmondhill.ca>

Subject: RE: AGENDA ITEM # 13.1.17 (Cash-in-Lieu of Parkland allocation) and #13.1.18 (Downtown Local Centre Secondary Plan)

Hello,

As a Richmond Hill resident, I do not support Councillor Muench's motion to reduce Cash-in-lieu of Parkland allocation, and to make changes outlined in Option 2 of SPRS.19.023 to the Downtown Local Secondary Plan.

There is nothing more important than our living environment which can't buy back with the money once it's ruined. Please do considerate the long term benefit for ourselves and next generations.

Regards,

Ying Liu

23 Alpaca Dr, Richmond Hill

From: Ingrid Sunar

Sent: Monday, January 28, 2019 1:01 PM

To: Clerks Richmondhill <clerks@richmondhill.ca>; Dave Barrow <dave.barrow@richmondhill.ca>; Joe DiPaola <joe.dipaola@richmondhill.ca>; Carmine Perrelli <carmine.perrelli@richmondhill.ca>; Godwin Chan <godwin.chan@richmondhill.ca>; Karen Cilevitz <karen.cilevitz@richmondhill.ca>; David West <david.west@richmondhill.ca>; Castro Liu <castro.liu@richmondhill.ca>; Tom Muench <tom.muench@richmondhill.ca>; Greg Beros <greg.beros@richmondhill.ca>

Subject: Councillor Muench's motion to reduce Cash-in-lieu of Parkland allocation

To Town Council,

We do not support Councillor Muench's motion to reduce Cash-in-lieu of Parkland allocation" and "do not support Councillor Muench's motion to make changes outlined in Option 2 of SPRS.19.023 to the Downtown Local Secondary Plan".

We believe this will only benefit the developers and NOT us, the residents. Who is going to ensure and pay for parklands that need to be purchased?. Will that be us, the residents, through increased taxes or will there simply be no new park developments in our growing town?

We are very concerned about this!

Ingrid and Yusuf Sunar

53 Wicker Drive

Richmond Hill, L4E 4T5

From: Olena

Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 9:10 AM

To: Clerks Richmondhill <clerks@richmondhill.ca>

Cc: David West <david.west@richmondhill.ca>; Dave Barrow <dave.barrow@richmondhill.ca>; Joe DiPaola <joe.dipaola@richmondhill.ca>; Carmine Perrelli <carmine.perrelli@richmondhill.ca>; Godwin Chan <godwin.chan@richmondhill.ca>; Karen Cilevitz <karen.cilevitz@richmondhill.ca>; Castro Liu <castro.liu@richmondhill.ca>; Tom Muench <tom.muench@richmondhill.ca>; Greg Beros <greg.beros@richmondhill.ca>; Adriana Pisano Beaumont

Subject: Protecting our parklands and greenspace in Richmond Hill

Hi there,

Please note that we strongly oppose Councillor Muench's motion to reduce Cash-in-lieu of Parkland allocation and Councillor Muench's motion to make changes outlined in Option 2 of SPRS.19.023 to the Downtown Local Secondary Plan.

Regards,

Vitaliy Okhotov

Olena Okhotova

Evgeny Okhotov

From: Jun Zhang

Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 11:28 AM

To: Clerks Richmondhill <clerks@richmondhill.ca>; Dave Barrow <dave.barrow@richmondhill.ca>; Joe DiPaola <joe.dipaola@richmondhill.ca>; Carmine Perrelli <carmine.perrelli@richmondhill.ca>; Godwin Chan <godwin.chan@richmondhill.ca>; Karen Cilevitz <karen.cilevitz@richmondhill.ca>; David West <david.west@richmondhill.ca>; Castro Liu <castro.liu@richmondhill.ca>; Tom Muench <tom.muench@richmondhill.ca>; Greg Beros <greg.beros@richmondhill.ca>

Subject: RE: AGENDA ITEM # 13.1.17 (Cash-in-Lieu of Parkland allocation) and #13.1.18 (Downtown Local Centre Secondary Plan)

Dear Officers,

I am just aware of those two motions.

1. The Councillor Muench's motion to reduce Cash-in-lieu of Parkland allocation.
2. The Councillor Muench's motion to make changes outlined in Option 2 of SPRS.19.023 to the Downtown Local Secondary Plan.

I DO NOT support any of two above.

My property is 9 Grange Dr,

Thanks and have good day.

Jun Zhang