From: Saad ...

Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 11:58 AM

To: Clerks Richmondhill <clerks@richmondhill.ca>

Cc:

Subject: May13th Council Meeting-item# 12.6 YBKDASP

Importance: High

!

CAUTION: This email is from an external source. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender.

Hi,

Please, forward this written communication to the City of Richmond Hill Council members and Staff to be included in Tomorrows agenda.

I have been communicating/addressing and writing to the City of Richmond Hill regarding the above subject for a few years now. It appears that the City's definition of Public Consultation is different than what I thought or learned. The City apparently needed to spend extensive amounts of time, efforts and money to come up with the Draft proposed documents of the Secondary Plan and associated By-Law from June 2019 to introduce the Draft in February 2020. The residents and other beneficiaries provided their input by March 13th.

Since March 13, 2020 to May 6th, 2020 it appears that the City attempted to **re-dress** the residents concerns but **address** the others wishes and goals.

from March to May the City actually changed the previous approach to determine and calculate specific density and ground floor area calculations and residential to commercial percentages and many other specifics, to an average density and replaced the height limits to other parameters that were differently calculated from the original adopted documents in November 2017. The number of built units, new residents and jobs (amazingly called estimates or at times minimum after all this comprehensive effort) among others appears to be fudged when compared to the numbers in the Adopted documents despite the upfront drastic increases. The City knows that residents lack professionals to decipher and navigate these documents, especially in less than a week!!

My communication dated March 13, 2020 does indicate some of my concerns and are still valid in principle.

An example of that is the **Southwest Quadrant** mentioned in the last paragraph in item # 5 where a previous settlement was approved by the LPAT mid 2017 and it appears that the City want to reward the lot owner by significantly increasing the build on the rest of their lands, so the City introduced the average density!!!

Another example of **redressing** issues is The concern regarding the development on 70 Bernard Avenue and evidence I used in that case that I mentioned in March 13th. 2020 in item # 7. It does raise issue that I mentioned this on March 13th 2020 and the **Decision from the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal was released in May 17th, 2020.** Thought the LPAT approved this development, it is still the City's responsibility to take accountability of approving a development that did not comply with the Ontario Building Code regarding Fire Department Access and increased risk to loss of life due to fire as per Dillions Risk Assessment Report /Study commissioned by the City of Richmond Hill themselves. It also increases risk to existing neighboring residential area and the natural habitat.

The above are an example of how the City considered Consultation and in reality, and nothing is achieved except spending more tax payers money, exerting more pain by constantly increasing the KDA built parameters from one report to the next.

Regards,

Saad Askandar 71 Yorkland Street