

From: Sridhar Reddy Methuku

Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2020 11:06 AM

To: Joseph Liberatore <joseph.liberatore@richmondhill.ca>; Planning Richmondhill <planning@richmondhill.ca>; Clerks Richmondhill <clerks@richmondhill.ca>; Dan Terzievski <dan.terzievski@richmondhill.ca>; Jeff Walters <jeff.walters@richmondhill.ca>

Subject: City File : D02-20011(Zonix Group (Harris)INC)

Dear Council Members and Staff,

Re:

City File: D02-20011

ZONIX GROUP (HARRIS) INC request to amend Zoning By-law

I am opposing this application for 6 semi detached lots. I am not being punitive against my neighbors proposal to maximize their development potential but I am opposing this application because the benefit they are going to derive from approval of this application will be severely detrimental to my property value and quality/enjoyment of life considering from how the street we used to live on was (two side dead end peaceful street with fully matured trees(50 to 100 year old trees)) to heavily intensified proposal but my home remains to be one 156 feet detached lot surrounded by semi detached lots. If council approves this application, this will severely affect my property value and also severely impact quality/enjoyment of life for us.

I have met council members and staff on numerous occasions since Harris Beech infill study was first proposed in 2007/2008 and pleaded them to fix the past mistakes, as most of the council members and staff are aware of history I am not going to explain it again (please feel free to reach out to me if any of you want me to refresh the history).

Little background on subject property.

The subject property with this application and adjacent properties filled up their lot and now this lot is being considered as a developable lot. In regards to my lot, I am being penalized for not doing that and for being an abiding resident, and the slope on my lot is being shown as land form designation (which was not considered as a feature when TRCA walked my property in 2001). There is nothing natural around me including the natural linkage section in back of my property. The properties around me that have filled up the landform are being rewarded with ability to develop their properties and my property is being penalized for following rules, is this fair?

Fillings around my property

The fillings around me (including filling by Tribute Developers in the natural linkage section) is causing a lot of erosion on my lot. I have been asking for a copy of permits since 2008 residents meeting (to ensure this fill and fill around my properties will not cause erosion on my property). Because of this filling around me and the water being drained into my lot, I don't have a spot to replace my exhausted and eroding septic leach field as per new code unless I am allowed to fill back of my lot to avoid the water flow from filling around me which is required for a new septic leach field).

The best way to preserve landform designation feature or any other feature was to leave the neighborhood as is one acre lots, but that is not an option anymore and everything around is not natural. The landform that is proposed for preservation on my lot is the result of filling around me. Had there been no filling around me the landform preservation boundaries would have been totally different. Even MESP did not consider locating the storm water pond to the original location of the pond that was filled up to build houses historically.

I am requesting the council again to fight and advocate on behalf of residents to remove this landform designation and reconsider and come up with one plan for the southside of Harris avenue.

Thanks,

Thanks,

Sridhar Methuku

257 Harris Avenue

Richmond Hill, ON