From:

Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2020 9:14 PM
To: Clerks Richmondhill <clerks@richmondhill.ca>

Subject: Re: Major concerns with City Files: D01-20009 and D2-20018

To whom it concern,

I found a supporting document from Stat Canada showing the higher crime rate as density and renter increase. Hope this also helps with the judgement to not approve this project.

https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/85-561-m/2009018/t002-eng.htm

Thanks,
Sent from my iPhone

> On Sep 24, 2020, at 6:40 PM, wrote:

>

> To whom it may concern,

>

> I am a owner of unit from XX Yonge Street. I am writing with concern over the project City Files D01-20009 and D02-20018 and highly hope the city rejects this project. My main concerns are pointed out below:

>

- > 1) This area is already highly dense and don't need another highly dense apartment. Ever since I moved here, there is only constructions and with the new VIVA terminal in place, there is only more traffic and congestion. Along with cycling travelling on Yonge, there are higher chance of accidents. So with this plan, traffic will only worsen and what is the city's plan to prevent this?
- > 2) Also with regards to constructions that has been ongoing, building a high density building means even more construction going on as there will be a need again for new pipe line, phone lines and etc. These are only using more taxpayer money to fund these areas but it doesn't improve the living quality of current residence living quality. Furthermore, the recent constructions created a lot of noise pollution on Yonge Street already. I hear construction going on throughout the middle of the night till dawn and a lot of us needs rest.
- > 3) With a highly density residential building that with purpose of rental, the quality of the community will worsen instead of improvement which mean a higher percentage of crime rates. Note that we have already seen increase in crime rate in the area (recall: violence against the police in the Hillcrest parking lot and attempt of stealing a individual car while he was getting to his car near Weldrick). How will the city plan to fix it? Is it only to make the area more ghetto? This also mean bringing down the pricing for the housing market in the area. Will the city compensate us which I doubt there will be for any of our losses.
- > 4) Another blocks of building in the area creates more air pollution and I find it as an obstruction. Currently because there are no high rise building in the Block N, it provide residence in this area with better air quality. Adding additional building will definitely worsen the air quality of the current area and also note because of the high rise you are talking is higher than a lot of buildings in the surrounding area.
- > 5) There are a lot of elderly living in this area, building in your projects creates more congestion and harm to the area for them as more car means more easily prone to accident. Think about them.

>

> I truly think right now the city should try to promote a better living by enhancing the quality of the residence instead of making the area like it's Jane/Finch. Please reconsider and I truly hope the city rejects this projects. Why would the City of Richmond Hill promoting a concerns for residence by introducing a bad neighborhood in comparison to what City of Markham has been doing? I see that Hillcrest Mall have higher end stores like Sporting Life and items in the Bay are higher end. I think bringing the area to an more upscale area will be better than trying to make it ghetto. Please don't bring down the area.

>

> Yours truly,

>

> Sent from my iPhone