

From: Wayne Russell
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2020 5:05 PM
To: Godwin Chan <godwin.chan@richmondhill.ca>
Cc: Planning Richmondhill <planning@richmondhill.ca>
Subject: Concerning City File Application

Ref: File No: D01-19005 and D02-19023

My Wife and I have grave concerns about the proposed application to build four more towers as outlined in this application

We live on Oneida now and already the traffic entering and exiting between Oneida and Red Maple during rush hour is risky to say the least and time consuming at best. Four more buildings under construction at the north west corner will be occupied soon which will at least double the residents using Oneida to Red Maple as the only entrance and exit amplifying the problem further. There will be too much density for the accessing Red Maple when these building are occupied.

Four more towers would be a disaster!

During the current construction of these four buildings, hundreds of trades have overflowed the builders parking area, where this proposal is planned and have been parking along Oneida further restricting the traffic coming and going into the area. Where would the trades park if this application went ahead and they no longer have the parking lot available?

When we bought our place at 65 Oneida the builder, Pemberton told us Townhouses were going to be built on that property.

If Pemberton lied to the buyers of their condos at 55, 65, 75, 85 and 90 Oneida in order to make a sale, then they need to be held accountable.

Also, with a school and community centre with a park on the east side of Red Maple, pedestrian traffic will be at constant risk.

We are strongly against anymore towers being built on this property. Town houses is all that should be allowed.

Hoping for the best!
Wayne and Anna Russell
108-65 Oneida Cres.
Richmond Hill, ON
L4B 0G9

From: Wayne Russell
Sent: Monday, March 8, 2021 1:03 PM
To: Clerks Richmondhill <clerks@richmondhill.ca>
Subject: Re: Concerning City File Application

I live at 65 Oneida, when we purchased we were told by the developer tha Town Homes were being built on the proposed land. the developer should not be able to mislead buyers in order to make a sale. I have three concerns. First, traffic on Oneida is already dangerous, especially at the north/west corner. You have Oneida with a 90 degree bend and the access from four new condos (with only one occupied) entering Oneida straight off the bend, making it appear to be a through road for north bound Oneida traffic going to these condos and traffic coming out. This is an accident waiting to happen for westbound Oneida traffic coming from Red Maple and continuing south around the bend. Second, the increase in traffic on Oneida needing to access to Red Maple will be a nightmare once the four building currently in various stages of construction are occupied. Adding another four highrises will make it impossible to in and out trouble free. Finally, The construction trades use this proposed land for parking and still many use Oneida to park. The road is not wide enough to accomadate parking and two way traffic. Where are the trades going to park for this proposal and where will the developer place deliveries and supplies. I am against this proposal on every level. I would like to see the original Townhouse plan be approved.