From: J. Ll

Sent: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 11:58 AM

To: Clerks Richmondhill <clerks@richmondhill.ca>; Joe DiPaola <joe.dipaola@richmondhill.ca>

**Cc:** David West <david.west@richmondhill.ca>; Godwin Chan <godwin.chan@richmondhill.ca>; Carmine Perrelli <carmine.perrelli@richmondhill.ca>; Karen Cilevitz <karen.cilevitz@richmondhill.ca>; Greg Beros

<greg@gregberos.com>; Castro Liu <castro.liu@richmondhill.ca>

Subject: April 14 Council Meeting - Tom Muench's Motion Review of Farming and Agriculture in RH

Please include following letter to the item 14.1 of April 14th Council meeting

\_\_\_\_\_

Acting Mayor and the Council,

I accidentally saw this anti-marijuana petition and wanted to sign it. However, once I have done some researches, I feel very uncomfortable with the way certain politicians and interest groups treat the public.



This petition title is "Support the Greenbelt and Our Farmers – NO marijuana Production"; however, the opposite is true. This petition is intended to mislead the public and create a false impression of public support for Councillor Muench's motion.

 First, this motion/petition has nothing to do with cannabis cultivation, it's just use marijuana to draw public attention and takes advantage of some residents' opposition and fear of marijuana. Due to cultural reasons, most Chinese do not like or hate marijuana. – Second, if you read this petition, it will only tell you that the purpose of this petition is to support the green belt, to support farmers. However, the real purpose is to free this land from the green belt protection for real estate development in order to obtain high profits – It's to REDUCE the green belt and REDUCE farmers

Please keep in mind that Richmond Hill has more than enough land to satisfy the next 20 to 30-year population and employment development. We have just discovered that the current development applications involving only a small part of the available land in RH, most of the land in the 16 MTSAs is untouched, has EXCEEDED the housing requirements for the next 20 years. Therefore, there is no need to reduce the green belt at all; on the contrary, we should cool down the current disorderly over-development applications

Richmond Hill 118 Ongoing Development Applications Identified from the Official Plan and Zoning By-law Applications 2021-02-25				
1. Facts of 118 Applications			3. Analyses	
Condo/Apartment	15,439	units	Proposed Population	43,327 Residents
Townhouse	3,509	units	Employment needed by proposed population	<b>21,663</b> Jobs
Semi-Deteached House	399	units		
Deteached House	742	2 units	2020 Estimated Richmond Hill Population *	210,000 Residents
Total	20,089	units	2041 Richmond Hill Population Target	277,900 Residents
2. Common Data			R.H. Population Growth Target in the Next 20 Years	67,900 Residents
Condo/Apartment	1.92	residents/unit	Annual Average Population Growth Target	3,395 Residents
Townhouse	2.83	residents/unit	How many years of population growth targets have	12.8 Years
Semi-Deteached House	2.88	residents/unit	been covered by the 118 ongoing applications	12.8 16015
Deteached House	3.51	residents/unit		
Jobs to Residents Ratio	50%		* Based on 2016 R.H. Census population data and national population grow	th rate from 2016 to 2020
118 applications is a Partial List on the report, and this report Does Not Include Hundreds of applications that comply with the OP and the Zoning bylaw → Therefore, if all current applications are approved, they surpass the projected residential demand for the NEXT 20 years!				

I hope that the council will reject Tom Munch's motion in consideration of public interest and actual needs. Thank you

Regards, John Li

Resident of RH