

From: jeffrey@landplanlaw.com <jeffrey@landplanlaw.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 10:42 AM
To: Clerks Richmondhill <clerks@richmondhill.ca>
Cc: David West <david.west@richmondhill.ca>; Tom Muench <tom.muench@richmondhill.ca>; Mike Manett <mplanning@rogers.com>
Subject: Submission on behalf of NEC re Mar 9 Council meeting Agenda item 13.9 SRPI.22.021 - Parks Plan, Recreation Plan, and Culture Plan Review - Key Findings and Directions - (referred from the February 23, 2022 Council meeting)

Mayor West and Members of Council,


On behalf of NEC whose lands are located in the northeast quadrant of the Bernard KDA, it remains our view that **it would be premature to endorse the above** referenced document.

Anyone who listened to the responses provided by Staff and the external consultants at the March 2 special council meeting should be able to conclude that there is no basis for preliminary findings on NEED.

More importantly it is clear from Staff that the growth projections upon which current and prior DC bylaws are premised, has not materialized.

Growth cannot pay for growth if growth does not materialize - which remains the case in Richmond Hill.

Please provide me with notice of any future meeting involving the above matter or future parkland dedication bylaw or CBC bylaw based on the suggested timeline below.



ation and Training Workshop - March 2, 2022 - 9:30 a.m. - City of Richmond Hill

on Mar 2, 2022

DISLIKE SHARE SAVE

Live chat replay was turned off for this

Thank you.

Jeffrey E Streisfield, BA LLB MES
416.460.2518

LAND LAWTM
www.landplanlaw.com

Planning & Development Approvals
Municipal & Environmental Law
Boundary & Property Disputes
Trials, Hearings, OMB (LPAT) and Court Appeals

This e-mail may be privileged and/or confidential, and the sender does not waive any related rights and obligations.