



Special Council Meeting

Minutes

C#15-22

Wednesday, April 20, 2022, 9:30 a.m.

Council Chambers

225 East Beaver Creek Road

Richmond Hill, Ontario

An electronic hybrid Special Council meeting, pursuant to Section 238(3.3) of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, of the Council of the City of Richmond Hill was held on Wednesday, April 20, 2022 at 9:30 a.m. in Council Chambers via videoconference.

Council Members present in Council Chambers:

Mayor West
Regional and Local Councillor DiPaola
Councillor Beros
Councillor Sheppard

Council Members present via videoconference:

Regional and Local Councillor Perrelli
Councillor Muench
Councillor Liu
Councillor Cilevitz
Councillor Chan

Staff Members present in Council Chambers:

M. Makrigiorgos, Local and Regional Councillor - Chief of Staff
S. Huycke, City Clerk
R. Ban, Deputy City Clerk
S. Dumont, Council/Committee Coordinator
C. Connolly, Legislative Services Assistant

Staff Members present via videoconference:

- D. Joslin, Interim City Manager
- S. Adams, Commissioner of Corporate and Financial Services
- K. Kwan, Commissioner of Planning and Infrastructure
- T. Steele, Acting Commissioner of Community Services
- P. Masaro, Executive Director, Infrastructure and Engineering Services
- A. Ierullo, Director, Economic Development and Richmond Hill Center
- G. Galanis, Director, Development Planning
- P. Lee, Director, Policy Planning
- D. Terzievski, Director, Infrastructure Planning and Development Engineering
- D. Olding, Senior Manager, Richmond Hill Centre Subway
- M. Logue, Project Manager, Development Partnerships

Leigh McGrath, Urban Strategies Inc., was also in attendance.

1. Adoption of Agenda

Moved by: Councillor Sheppard
Seconded by: Regional and Local Councillor DiPaola

That the agenda be adopted as distributed by the Clerk with the following additions:

- a) Delegations received regarding the Inter-governmental Agreement in Principle for Yonge North Subway Extension Transit Oriented Community Sites – (Item 3.1.1).
- b) Correspondence regarding Inter-governmental Agreement in Principle for Yonge North Subway Extension Transit Oriented Community Sites – (Item 3.1.2).

Carried

2. Disclosures of Pecuniary Interest and General Nature Thereof

There were no disclosures of pecuniary interest by Members of Council under the *Municipal Conflict of Interest Act*.

3. Scheduled Business

3.1 SRCM.22.04 - Inter-governmental Agreement in Principle for Yonge North Subway Extension Transit Oriented Community Sites

Anthony Ierullo, Director, Economic Development and Richmond Hill Centre, provided a presentation on the Inter-governmental Agreement in Principle (AIP) for Yonge North Subway Extension Transit Oriented Community Sites (TOC). He began by providing a brief overview of the

Yonge North Subway Extension project, noting it was Richmond Hill's top priority. He advised that the TOC process was provincially directed, and that an enhanced Ministerial Zoning Order (eMZO) was approved on April 14, 2022, to achieve zoning certainty at the High Tech TOC site. A. Ierullo described the role of the AIP, noting that it provided a framework for the future planning approach and future value allocation at the High Tech TOC site. He concluded by outlining three options for Council's consideration which were laid out in the staff report.

Moved by: Councillor Chan
Seconded by: Councillor Cilevitz

Whereas the Government of Ontario issued an enhanced Ministerial Zoning Order (eMZO) for High Tech Transit Oriented Community (TOC) on Thursday, April 14, 2022 to provide zoning certainty to the landowners for their future developments under O. Reg. 344/22;

Whereas the eMZO effectively bypasses the City's planning authority under the Ontario *Planning Act*, and prescribes permitted uses for the Richmond Hill Centre zones and sets a maximum area of land for public park uses in the area.

Whereas the eMZO lacks clarity of any identification of Affordable Housing capabilities within the High Tech TOC without any minimum requirement for affordable rental or ownership housing units;

Whereas the City of Richmond Hill has identified four key areas of concern in the motion adopted by Council on February 9, 2022 on the High Tech TOC plan, specifically:

- a) The densities and total population proposed for the High Tech TOC are markedly higher than outlined in the draft RH Centre Secondary Plan (IO High Tech TOC plan anticipates 35,300 to 36,700 residents within the TOC boundary, while the draft Richmond Hill Centre Secondary Plan anticipates 17,700 residents;
- b) The lack of alignment with the draft Richmond Hill Centre Secondary Plan vision for mix of use within the High Tech TOC area;
- c) The lack of a transparent and fulsome consultation process with Council and residents; and
- d) The lack of a financial framework to support the High Tech TOC;

Whereas it is disappointing that the Province of Ontario did not propose any amendments to the TOC plan to address concerns related to the proposed densities or enhanced community and Council engagement in its response on April 8, 2022 to Richmond Hill Council motion of February 9, 2022;

Whereas the Province is requesting the City of Richmond Hill to enter into an Agreement In Principle to address the issue of the mix of uses in the future and provide financial certainty for Community Benefits Charges and development charges;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

- a) Council receive the Staff Report SRCM.22.04.
- b) The city issues a letter from the Mayor on behalf of Council to the Province to voice the City of Richmond Hill's concerns of the intrinsic lack of financial certainty to support the envisioned massive development under the Provincial High Tech TOC plan, and the disappointment of the manner in which the eMZO was issued by the Province without further open, transparent and accountable consultation with Council and/or the community.
- c) Council authorize the City Manager or designate to negotiate the final terms of the Intergovernmental Agreement in Principle (IAP), Richmond Hill for the Yonge North Subway Extension identified TOC site at High Tech to secure greater clarity of financial commitments from the Province, and report back to Council with the draft IAP for Council's comments and approval, so that Council may further inform the Province as to the wishes of the City of Richmond Hill relative to the draft Richmond Hill Centre Secondary Plan and the identified High Tech TOC.
- d) This resolution be distributed to the Regional Municipality of York, the City of Markham, the City of Vaughan, and all Members of Provincial Parliament in York Region.

An Amendment was:

Moved by: Councillor Liu
Seconded by: Councillor Cilevitz

That Clause c) of the motion be amended to read as follows:

“Council authorize the City Manager or designate to negotiate the final terms of the Intergovernmental Agreement in Principle (AIP), Richmond Hill for the Yonge North Subway Extension identified TOC site at High

Tech to secure greater clarity of financial commitments from the Province, and report back to Council with the draft AIP for Council’s comments and approval, so that Council may further inform the Province as to the wishes of the City of Richmond Hill relative to the draft Richmond Hill Centre Secondary Plan and the identified High Tech TOC, and report back to a Special Council meeting to be held prior to May 1, 2022.”

Motion to Refer:

Moved by: Regional and Local Councillor Perrelli
Seconded by: Councillor Beros

That Staff Report SRCM. 22.04 be referred back to staff for further negotiations with appropriate parties based on comments at the Special Council meeting held on April 20, 2022 and report back to a Special Council meeting to be held prior to May 1, 2022.

A recorded vote was taken:

In favour: (4): Regional and Local Councillor Perrelli, Councillor Beros, Councillor Liu, and Mayor West

Opposed: (5): Regional and Local Councillor DiPaola, Councillor Muench, Councillor Cilevitz, Councillor Chan, and Councillor Sheppard

Motion to Refer Failed to Carry (4 to 5)

An Amendment was:

Moved by: Councillor Liu
Seconded by: Councillor Cilevitz

That Clause c) of the motion be amended to read as follows:

“Council authorize the City Manager or designate to negotiate the final terms of the Intergovernmental Agreement in Principle (AIP), Richmond Hill for the Yonge North Subway Extension identified TOC site at High Tech to secure greater clarity of financial commitments from the Province, and report back to Council with the draft AIP for Council’s comments and approval, so that Council may further inform the Province as to the wishes of the City of Richmond Hill relative to the draft Richmond Hill Centre Secondary Plan and the identified High Tech TOC, and report back to a Special Council meeting to be held prior to May 1, 2022.”

A recorded vote was taken:

In favour: (8): Regional and Local Councillor DiPaola, Regional and Local Councillor Perrelli, Councillor Muench, Councillor Liu, Mayor West, Councillor Cilevitz, Councillor Chan, and Councillor Sheppard

Opposed: (0):None

Absent: (1): Councillor Beros

Motion to Amend Carried (8 to 0)

Main Motion as Amended:

Moved by: Councillor Chan
Seconded by: Councillor Cilevitz

Whereas the Government of Ontario issued an enhanced Ministerial Zoning Order (eMZO) for High Tech Transit Oriented Community (TOC) on Thursday, April 14, 2022 to provide zoning certainty to the landowners for their future developments under O. Reg. 344/22;

Whereas the eMZO effectively bypasses the City's planning authority under the Ontario *Planning Act*, and prescribes permitted uses for the Richmond Hill Centre zones and sets a maximum area of land for public park uses in the area.

Whereas the eMZO lacks clarity of any identification of Affordable Housing capabilities within the High Tech TOC without any minimum requirement for affordable rental or ownership housing units;

Whereas the City of Richmond Hill has identified four key areas of concern in the motion adopted by Council on February 9, 2022 on the High Tech TOC plan, specifically:

- a) The densities and total population proposed for the High Tech TOC are markedly higher than outlined in the draft RH Centre Secondary Plan (IO High Tech TOC plan anticipates 35,300 to 36,700 residents within the TOC boundary, while the draft Richmond Hill Centre Secondary Plan anticipates 17,700 residents;
- b) The lack of alignment with the draft Richmond Hill Centre Secondary Plan vision for mix of use within the High Tech TOC area;
- c) The lack of a transparent and fulsome consultation process with Council and residents; and

d) The lack of a financial framework to support the High Tech TOC;

Whereas it is disappointing that the Province of Ontario did not propose any amendments to the TOC plan to address concerns related to the proposed densities or enhanced community and Council engagement in its response on April 8, 2022 to Richmond Hill Council motion of February 9, 2022;

Whereas the Province is requesting the City of Richmond Hill to enter into an Agreement In Principle to address the issue of the mix of uses in the future and provide financial certainty for Community Benefits Charges and development charges;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

- a) Council receive the Staff Report SRCM.22.04.
- b) The city issues a letter from the Mayor on behalf of Council to the Province to voice the City of Richmond Hill's concerns of the intrinsic lack of financial certainty to support the envisioned massive development under the Provincial High Tech TOC plan, and the disappointment of the manner in which the eMZO was issued by the Province without further open, transparent and accountable consultation with Council and/or the community.
- c) Council authorize the City Manager or designate to negotiate the final terms of the Intergovernmental Agreement in Principle (AIP), Richmond Hill for the Yonge North Subway Extension identified TOC site at High Tech to secure greater clarity of financial commitments from the Province, and report back to Council with the draft AIP for Council's comments and approval, so that Council may further inform the Province as to the wishes of the City of Richmond Hill relative to the draft Richmond Hill Centre Secondary Plan and the identified High Tech TOC, and report back to a Special Council meeting to be held prior to May 1, 2022.
- d) This resolution be distributed to the Regional Municipality of York, the City of Markham, the City of Vaughan, and all Members of Provincial Parliament in York Region.

An Amendment was:

Moved by: Councillor Muench
Seconded by: Councillor Chan

That the motion as amended be further amended by adding the following clause:

- e) That the Mayor on behalf of Council write to Richmond Hill MPP's Daisy Wai and Michael Parsa to express Council's concerns, including but not limited to the development of a complete community and the use hydro corridor lands (including engagement with the owners of those lands), with various aspects of the High Tech TOC and AIP as commented on at the Special Council meeting held on April 20, 2022.

A recorded vote was taken:

In favour: (8): Regional and Local Councillor DiPaola, Regional and Local Councillor Perrelli, Councillor Muench, Councillor Liu, Mayor West, Councillor Cilevitz, Councillor Chan, and Councillor Sheppard

Opposed: (1) Councillor Beros

Motion to Amend Carried (8 to 1)

Main Motion as Amended:

Moved by: Councillor Chan
Seconded by: Councillor Cilevitz

Whereas the Government of Ontario issued an enhanced Ministerial Zoning Order (eMZO) for High Tech Transit Oriented Community (TOC) on Thursday, April 14, 2022 to provide zoning certainty to the landowners for their future developments under O. Reg. 344/22;

Whereas the eMZO effectively bypasses the City's planning authority under the Ontario *Planning Act*, and prescribes permitted uses for the Richmond Hill Centre zones and sets a maximum area of land for public park uses in the area.

Whereas the eMZO lacks clarity of any identification of Affordable Housing capabilities within the High Tech TOC without any minimum requirement for affordable rental or ownership housing units;

Whereas the City of Richmond Hill has identified four key areas of concern in the motion adopted by Council on February 9, 2022 on the High Tech TOC plan, specifically:

- a) The densities and total population proposed for the High Tech TOC are markedly higher than outlined in the draft RH Centre Secondary Plan (IO High Tech TOC plan anticipates 35,300 to 36,700 residents within

the TOC boundary, while the draft Richmond Hill Centre Secondary Plan anticipates 17,700 residents;

- b) The lack of alignment with the draft Richmond Hill Centre Secondary Plan vision for mix of use within the High Tech TOC area;
- c) The lack of a transparent and fulsome consultation process with Council and residents; and
- d) The lack of a financial framework to support the High Tech TOC;

Whereas it is disappointing that the Province of Ontario did not propose any amendments to the TOC plan to address concerns related to the proposed densities or enhanced community and Council engagement in its response on April 8, 2022 to Richmond Hill Council motion of February 9, 2022;

Whereas the Province is requesting the City of Richmond Hill to enter into an Agreement In Principle to address the issue of the mix of uses in the future and provide financial certainty for Community Benefits Charges and development charges;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

- a) That Council receive the Staff Report SRCM.22.04.
- b) The city issues a letter from the Mayor on behalf of Council to the Province to voice the City of Richmond Hill's concerns of the intrinsic lack of financial certainty to support the envisioned massive development under the Provincial High Tech TOC plan, and the disappointment of the manner in which the eMZO was issued by the Province without further open, transparent and accountable consultation with Council and/or the community.
- c) Council authorize the City Manager or designate to negotiate the final terms of the Intergovernmental Agreement in Principle (AIP), Richmond Hill for the Yonge North Subway Extension identified TOC site at High Tech to secure greater clarity of financial commitments from the Province, and report back to Council with the draft AIP for Council's comments and approval, so that Council may further inform the Province as to the wishes of the City of Richmond Hill relative to the draft Richmond Hill Centre Secondary Plan and the identified High Tech TOC, and report back to a Special Council meeting to be held prior to May 1, 2022..

- d) This resolution be distributed to the Regional Municipality of York, the City of Markham, the City of Vaughan, and all Members of Provincial Parliament in York Region.
- e) That the Mayor on behalf of Council write to Richmond Hill MPP's Daisy Wai and Michael Parsa to express Council's concerns, including but not limited to the development of a complete community and the use hydro corridor lands (including engagement with the owners of those lands), with various aspects of the High Tech TOC and AIP as commented on at the Special Council meeting held on April 20, 2022.

A recorded vote was taken on Clause a) of the Main Motion as Amended:

In favour: (9): Councillor Cilevitz, Councillor Liu, Councillor Muench, Councillor Beros, Regional and Local Councillor DiPaola, Councillor Sheppard, Regional and Local Councillor Perrelli, Councillor Chan, Mayor West

Opposed: (0): None

Clause a) of the Main Motion as Amended Carried Unanimously

A recorded vote was taken on Clause b) of the Main Motion as Amended:

In favour: (7): Councillor Cilevitz, Councillor Liu, Councillor Muench, Regional and Local Councillor DiPaola, Councillor Sheppard, Councillor Chan, Mayor West

Opposed: (2): Councillor Beros, Regional and Local Councillor Perrelli

Clause b) of the Main Motion as Amended Carried

A recorded vote was taken on Clause c) of the Main Motion as Amended:

In favour: (9): Councillor Cilevitz, Councillor Liu, Councillor Muench, Councillor Beros, Regional and Local Councillor DiPaola, Councillor Sheppard, Regional and Local Councillor Perrelli, Councillor Chan, Mayor West

Opposed: (0): None

Clause c) of the Main Motion as Amended Carried Unanimously

A recorded vote was taken on Clause d) of the Main Motion as Amended:

In favour: (8): Councillor Cilevitz, Councillor Liu, Councillor Muench, Councillor Beros, Regional and Local Councillor DiPaola, Councillor Sheppard, Councillor Chan, Mayor West

Opposed: (1): Regional and Local Councillor Perrelli

Clause d) of the Main Motion as Amended Carried

A recorded vote was taken on Clause e) of the Main Motion as Amended:

In favour: (8): Councillor Cilevitz, Councillor Liu, Councillor Muench, Regional and Local Councillor DiPaola, Councillor Sheppard, Councillor Chan, Mayor West, Regional and Local Councillor Perrelli

Opposed: (1): Councillor Beros

Clause e) of the Main Motion as Amended Carried

The complete motion to read as follows:

Moved by: Councillor Chan
Seconded by: Councillor Cilevitz

Whereas the Government of Ontario issued an enhanced Ministerial Zoning Order (eMZO) for High Tech Transit Oriented Community (TOC) on Thursday, April 14, 2022 to provide zoning certainty to the landowners for their future developments under O. Reg. 344/22;

Whereas the eMZO effectively bypasses the City's planning authority under the Ontario *Planning Act*, and prescribes permitted uses for the Richmond Hill Centre zones and sets a maximum area of land for public park uses in the area.

Whereas the eMZO lacks clarity of any identification of Affordable Housing capabilities within the High Tech TOC without any minimum requirement for affordable rental or ownership housing units;

Whereas the City of Richmond Hill has identified four key areas of concern in the motion adopted by Council on February 9, 2022 on the High Tech TOC plan, specifically:

- a) The densities and total population proposed for the High Tech TOC are markedly higher than outlined in the draft RH Centre Secondary Plan (IO High Tech TOC plan anticipates 35,300 to 36,700 residents within the TOC boundary, while the draft Richmond Hill Centre Secondary Plan anticipates 17,700 residents;
- b) The lack of alignment with the draft Richmond Hill Centre Secondary Plan vision for mix of use within the High Tech TOC area;
- c) The lack of a transparent and fulsome consultation process with Council and residents; and

d) The lack of a financial framework to support the High Tech TOC;

Whereas it is disappointing that the Province of Ontario did not propose any amendments to the TOC plan to address concerns related to the proposed densities or enhanced community and Council engagement in its response on April 8, 2022 to Richmond Hill Council motion of February 9, 2022;

Whereas the Province is requesting the City of Richmond Hill to enter into an Agreement In Principle to address the issue of the mix of uses in the future and provide financial certainty for Community Benefits Charges and development charges;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

- a) That Council receive the Staff Report SRCM.22.04.
- b) The city issues a letter from the Mayor on behalf of Council to the Province to voice the City of Richmond Hill's concerns of the intrinsic lack of financial certainty to support the envisioned massive development under the Provincial High Tech TOC plan, and the disappointment of the manner in which the eMZO was issued by the Province without further open, transparent and accountable consultation with Council and/or the community.
- c) Council authorize the City Manager or designate to negotiate the final terms of the Intergovernmental Agreement in Principle (AIP), Richmond Hill for the Yonge North Subway Extension identified TOC site at High Tech to secure greater clarity of financial commitments from the Province, and report back to Council with the draft AIP for Council's comments and approval, so that Council may further inform the Province as to the wishes of the City of Richmond Hill relative to the draft Richmond Hill Centre Secondary Plan and the identified High Tech TOC, and report back to a Special Council meeting to be held prior to May 1, 2022..
- d) This resolution be distributed to the Regional Municipality of York, the City of Markham, the City of Vaughan, and all Members of Provincial Parliament in York Region.
- e) That the Mayor on behalf of Council write to Richmond Hill MPP's Daisy Wai and Michael Parsa to express Council's concerns, including but not limited to the development of a complete community and the use hydro corridor lands (including engagement with the owners of

those lands), with various aspects of the High Tech TOC and AIP as commented on at the Special Council meeting held on April 20, 2022.

Carried

3.1.1 Delegations received regarding the Inter-governmental Agreement in Principle for Yonge North Subway Extension Transit Oriented Community Sites

The following individuals had registered as delegations to address Council regarding Item 3.1:

Lily Thompson, 104 Baker Avenue, communicated her disagreement with the AIP for the development at Yonge Street and Highway 7. She questioned at what point housing intensification would reach a maximum saturation point, noting that it was proven not to be a healthy environment to live in. She shared her opinion that the environmental impacts were not considered and that the government should not issue an MZO or eMZO without public consultation. L. Thompson provided comments with respect to the manner in which the MZO and eMZO was issued, and shared her belief that it was a violation of the public's freedom of speech and violated the Charter of Rights and Freedoms with respect to the freedom of expression.

John Li, 206 Brookside Road, shared his opinion that the current Provincial government blamed the housing affordability problem on population growth outpacing housing supply, and provided statistics to demonstrate that the claim was not true. He shared his belief that the Provincial government was pushing over development to benefit their developer sponsors, as was illustrated in the findings of a Toronto Star article that he shared. J. Li advised that the residents' interests and democratic rights were completely deprived, and requested Council to condemn the eMZO and fight for their community, next generation, for their democracy, and show leadership.

Graham Churchill, 12A Mackay Drive, shared his opinion that over the last four years, the Provincial government had made decisions that have progressively taken away the democratic rights of its citizens and communities. He advised that the eMZOs for the TOCs at Yonge Street and Highway 407 would create the densest housing complex in the Western Hemisphere, noting that Richmond

Hill and Markham had envisioned an economic hub for that location to create much need jobs. G. Churchill shared his opinions as to why the Province issued the eMZO, and asked Council to issue a motion to condemn the eMZO both in content and in process as an assault on democracy.

Evelin Ellison, Thornhill resident, spoke on behalf of Ward 1 Thornhill Residents Inc., to advise that due to their proximity as a southerly neighbour, they will be directly affected by the proposed development. She advised that they were opposed and disturbed by the secrecy and process of the TOC developments, noting that they were not consulted. She shared concerns with the impact the development will have on traffic, transit, population density, and questioned what the vision for Richmond Hill was. E. Ellison requested that Richmond Hill bring a motion to condemn the TOC and MZO and not accept the decisions made by the Province.

3.1.2 Correspondence regarding Inter-governmental Agreement in Principle for Yonge North Subway Extension Transit Oriented Community Sites

Moved by: Councillor Chan

Seconded by: Councillor Cilevitz

That the correspondence regarding Inter-governmental Agreement in Principle for Yonge North Subway Extension Transit Oriented Community Sites be received as follows:

1. Graham Churchill, on behalf of the South Richvale Ratepayers Association, dated April 11, 2022.
2. Tony Faccia, Village Core Residents Association, dated April 11, 2022.
3. Joseph Brunaccioni, Director, YRSCC, dated April 15, 2022 and April 19, 2022.
4. Marney Beck Robinson, 49 Coventry Court, dated April 16, 2022.
5. Cecilia Silbernagel, 56 Cartier Crescent, dated April 18, 2022.
6. David Kimbark, 92 Mayvern Crescent, dated April 18, 2022.
7. Chris Lehman, 62 Drumern Crescent, dated April 18, 2022.

8. Frann Harris, 53 Spruce Avenue, dated April 18, 2022.
9. Judy Moore Vey, 118 O'Connor Crescent, dated April 18, 2022.
10. E. Smith, 10 Cheval Court, dated April 19, 2022.
11. Scott Thompson, 104 Baker Avenue, dated April 19, 2022.
12. Olga Kasjanova, 280-23 Observatory Lane, dated April 19, 2022.
13. Gerry Kadisch, 15-6 Leonard Street, dated April 19, 2022.
14. Kathy Mochanacki, Home on the Hill, dated April 19, 2022.
15. Wendy Gibson, 12853 Leslie Street, dated April 19, 2022.
16. Allen Pourhashemi, 154 Rideau Drive, dated April 19, 2022.
17. Linda Keith, 25 Bloomgate Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
18. Toni Diclemente, 30 Glen Meadow Lane, dated April 19, 2022.
19. Steve Robertson, 26 Bloomgate Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
20. Jane Ridout, 102 Greenbelt Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
21. Bill Wolters, 135 Barberry Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
22. Pat Pollock, 67 Drumern Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
23. Alex Wong, 35 Leno Mills Avenue, dated April 19, 2022.
24. Lana Birmann, 5 Weldrick Road West, dated April 19, 2022.
25. Claire Malcolmson, Rescue Lake Simcoe Coalition, dated April 19, 2022.
26. Neil Davidson, 115 Roseview Avenue, dated April 19, 2022.
27. Brian Birnbaum, 10 Pagean Drive, dated April 19, 2022.
28. Bert and Marylou Kallio, 34 Mayvern Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
29. Sandi Kirk, 52 Sanderson Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
30. Brian Spettigue, 4 Drumern Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
31. Anne McWilliams, 53 Drumern Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.

32. Eric and Heather Birmann, 88 Castle Rock Drive, dated April 19, 2022.
33. David and Elsa Crowley, 48 Greenbelt Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
34. Susan and Lawrence Raifman, 1017-39 Oneida Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
35. John Gates, 34 Innis Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
36. Brian Chapnik, 110 Arnold Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
37. Nadia Popovici, 20 Royal Chapin Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
38. Dhanya Menon, 17 Beramot Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
39. Jenni Le Forestier, 715 Bush Street, Caledon, dated April 19, 2022.
40. Ken Moran, 51 Sanderson Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
41. Fayla Lee, 15 Dartmore Court, dated April 19, 2022.
42. Michele Bruno, 68 Park Lane Circle, dated April 19, 2022.
43. Wendy Kenyon, Aurora Resident, dated April 19, 2022.
44. Rosemarie Volpe, 7 Sanderson Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
45. Adriano Pisano Beaumont, 62 Wicker Drive, dated April 19, 2022.
46. Anthony Faccia, 23 Trench Street, dated April 19, 2022.
47. Stepen Cockle, 159 Stephen Street, dated April 19, 2022.
48. Judy Davenport, 707-50 Inverlochy Boulevard, dated April 19, 2022.
49. Rosalind Lehman, 62 Drumern Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
50. Tracy Wixon, 17 Wood Rim Drive, dated April 19, 2022.
51. Terrence King, 63 Sanderson Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
52. Howard and Wendy Challacombe, 45 Mayvern Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
53. Alex Yeung, Richmond Hill resident, dated April 19, 2022.

- 54. Colleen Bolton, 66 Drumern Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
- 55. Anne Crosby, 16 Queensway Drive, dated April 19, 2022.
- 56. Mary Ane Stelling, 36 Sanderson Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
- 57. Gary and Michelle Zikovitz, 44 Penwick Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
- 58. Kathleen King, 63 Sanderson Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
- 59. Donna Connelly, 116 O'Connor Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
- 60. George Miller, 116 O'Connor Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
- 61. Cris Sturino, 3 Ellesmere Street, dated April 19, 2022.
- 62. Andrea Dyce, 26 Merrylynn Drive, dated April 19, 2022.
- 63. Carol Davidson, 25 Green Meadow Crescent, dated April 19, 2022.
- 64. Irene Ford, Resident of Vaughan, dated April 19, 2022.
- 65. Howard Doughty, 10 Cheval Court, dated April 19, 2022.

Carried

4. By-law to Confirm the Proceedings of Council at this Meeting

4.1 By-law 71-22

Moved by: Councillor Cilevitz

Seconded by: Councillor Sheppard

That By-law 71-22, A By-law to confirm the proceedings of Council at this meeting, be passed.

Carried

5. Adjournment

Moved by: Councillor Chan

Seconded by: Regional and Local Councillor DiPaola

That the meeting be adjourned.

Carried Unanimously

The meeting was adjourned at 12:27 p.m.

David West, Mayor

Stephen M.A. Huycke, City Clerk