

RE application 2705785

I would like to restate my objection to the subject application. I, and others, previously raised valid concerns regarding tower size, density, set back and traffic. The revised application does not address these issues in any material way. There are two particular issues I would like to raise. 1. The proposed site plan shows a potential future driveway on the east side of the property. If this driveway provides access to the commercial property on the north of the subject property the resultant traffic problems on Church Street south would be overwhelming especially if the development being planned to the south has access to this driveway. This has not been properly addressed. 2. There is no indication that there will be access to Clarissa from the south of the subject property but the development application for that property does show access from Clarissa through both properties to the commercial property to the north. The effect of this at the junction of Clarissa and Weldrick would be catastrophic. This does not appear to have been addressed at all. The applicant has the right to develop his property but the existing residents have the right to continued enjoyment of theirs. When assessing the effects on the neighbourhood it is my opinion that these two properties must be considered together. I believe the solution is clear. Vehicular access from the subject property to the properties north and south should not be permitted Due to the above I recommend that the staff report should not be accepted and that staff be required to address these issues and resubmit the report

Ed Lomax 351 Church Street South