

From: Laura Borello

Sent: Tuesday, January 24, 2023 11:41 AM

To: Clerks Richmondhill [clerks@richmondhill.ca](mailto:clerks@richmondhill.ca)

Subject: Re: Staff Report-SRPI.23.003-Request for Approval-Zoning By-law Amendment and Draft Plan of Subdivision Applications-2539144 Ontario Inc. -City Files D02-18021 and D03-18010

Dear Council,

This is regarding the Staff Report by the City's Planning and Infrastructure Department entitled SRPI.23.003-Request for Approval-Zoning By-law Amendment and Draft Plan of Subdivision Applications-2539144 Ontario Inc. -City Files D02-18021 and D03-18010.

We got this notice delivered to our home less than 24 hours ago by Amanda Dunn, Senior Planner-Development Zoning for the City of Richmond Hill. Consequently, we didn't have much to prepare our statement.

We live at 465 gamble rd and are concerned that the rezone will change the character of the neighbourhood, leading to more people, privacy issues, increased traffic, safety issues, and noise, as well as a reduction in property values. The zone change is not consistent with any applicable comprehensive plan for the area. Every home on Colesbrook and beyond are single detached homes, this plan for 15 Colesbrook would stand out like a sore thumb. The road on Colesbrook heading to Gamble is a single lane going each way, Gamble rd is already a high traffic road and is a main artery, which will cause congestion and increased traffic, which is a safety concern for both pedestrians and vehicles as there is only a stop sign. There is high traffic of pedestrians and pets using gamble road crossing Colesbrook, and it would further impede the traffic and causes safety issues. This area should be preserved as low density residential, as high rise town homes do not fit in our neighbourhood. There was no mistake made when the neighbourhood was originally zoned, and there is sufficient land elsewhere for high density residential use. Water drainage from the planning zoning in the adjacent lot next to us may cause flooding due to the egress of the land that should be looked at very very carefully.

To conclude, we fully oppose and have always opposed this concurrent application for this permit over the years. If you have any questions please feel free to reach out. Thank you for your time, and I appreciate you for allowing me to address this matter.

Sincerely,

Dino Fragaglia, Maria Fragaglia, & Laura Borello