

Letter of Objection to OP Amendment 18.6

Pamela Harakh

November 28th 2023

66 Major Mackenzie Drive West, Richmond Hill, L4C 3S2

Clerk of City of Richmond Hill

Via Email.

Dear Mayor and councilors

My opposition to schedule E2 of OP Amendment #18.6 in regards to a public road continuing through my property without compensating us and we don't have the means to donate lands for road.

The changes proposed for our area are not based on professional planning principles but, on destroying the old town core by putting a new public road through it, whilst at the same time claiming that if I were to build on my own land seven hundred meters away that will cause true destruction.

For over two hundred years, the old town was preserved without any new roads or building whatsoever, so it is illogical to justify the OP 18.6 Amendment, regarding a new road.

I am now 80, still living at home on Cottage Lane for a good portion of my life. As a senior it seems that I have fallen prey to the instruments of government, to commit Financial Fraud against almost parallel to unscrupulous deceitful behavior to steal my property ownership and thus my lifelong home equity for the pleasure of the residents group residing hundreds of meters away by using convoluted and opaque processes to displace us.

The violation of the Canada bill of rights regarding racial equality, Ontario government's Human rights code, the Region Character community protocol which you adopted, and the city's own "pledge" created by council, does not allow you to command my land use to complete a non-buildable (road) whilst at the same time transfer buildable gross floor area valuation to the Laurier homes development, given the building density of 88 town homes when they applied with the code of 37 townhomes. If this Amendment goes approved, then where is the development charges you collected from this developer, to build the road, or other funds for same.

I cannot appoint you to be my executor to pass on my estate to my kids. You have tied me up in misery since the conceivable scheme with the likely Planner Evans, likely current and previous elected mayors, possibly Don Thompson and Brian Chapnik group, all aligned for transfer of my home equity to the developer without any compensation to me. I am able to establish that verbatim the wording in the particular sections of Amendment 18.6 exactly matches the

wording of this OP that the two named persons above approved of these changes to the Amendment since June of last year, hence the staff report that is attached here, recommending these amendments reinforce to the resident group that has no connection that the residents of cottage lane, they are ignored.

I believe these actions are appealed and are preserving my right to articulate it. So much coincidences that secondary plans and planners may also misrepresent things that do not exist and things that exist are not shown as existing. A direct example would be in the Key Directions Report dated 2021 and approved in 2022 shows the existence of a non – existence road called Elizabeth Street South. The creation of this idea is clouded in mystery because it refers back to a 2009 land use recommendation that itself was based on 1994 York Region Official Plan. Overall, convoluted prophecy's, miraculous appearance on the draft secondary plans being implemented before approval of a stale mate being created on a development application for which our land was sacrificed. As recent as 3 weeks ago, the regional newspaper wrote an article where a development application was suddenly brought to council without even the local councilor a chance to review it, so was a process was followed the process was not transparent.

As of this minute, my husband was asked to make a presentation at tomorrow's special meeting may not be granted speaking rights, so I hasten to approve a placeholder for me in this matter.

Kind Regards,

11/11/22