## Attachment 2

## Status of the 2019 TMP Capital Sustainability Steering Committee Commitments

| Commitment                  | Transportation Master Plan   |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Reevaluate municipal        | The TMP is an update to the recommendations that   |
| infrastructure needs;       | were made from the 2014 Richmond Hill TMP and  |
|                             | identifies multi-modal transportation infrastructure   |
|                             | improvements for roads, active transportation and trails,<br>along with policies and programs to support planned     |
|                             | growth in 2031, 2041 and 2051 as identified in the   |
|                             | ongoing City's Official Plan (OP) Update. These  |
|                             | recommendations are also made in alignment with  |
|                             | policy direction from the City's OP, York Region TMP,  |
|                             | and provincial strategies.   |
| Consider the use of private | In alignment with the City's Official Plan policies, any   |
| infrastructure to           | transportation infrastructure that is identified as part of<br>the TMP or Official Plan should be public. Private    |
| supplement network          | roadways and interconnections within the City must   |
| capacity and enhance        | adhere to the stratification policies outlined in the City's   |
| connectivity while          | Official Plan and Stratification Guideline. In certain   |
| minimizing capital and      | cases, a stratified public right-of-way may be   |
| operating costs.            | considered, allowing for the inclusion of parking spaces   |
|                             | beneath the public right-of-way, in accordance with the  |
|                             | City Standards and Stratification Guideline.   |
|                             | As per the City's Official Plan policies, the City may   |
|                             | consider a stratified public right-of-way or a public  |
|                             | access easement over private property as an alternative  |
|                             | to conveying an unencumbered publicly owned and  |
|                             | operated right-of-way within centers and corridors.  |
|                             | However, for this alternative to be considered, the  |
|                             | stratified public right-of-way or public access easement<br>must functionally mirror a public right-of-way which may |
|                             | include the following features:  |
|                             | Sidewalks on both sides of the roadway   |
|                             | Provision for bicycle facilities   |
|                             | Adequate vehicle travel lanes and turn radii to  |
|                             | accommodate servicing vehicles   |
|                             | Provision for parking and curbside pick-up/drop-   |
|                             | off lanes as appropriate   |
|                             | <ul> <li>Adherence to the City's minimum standards for<br/>design, construction, and maintenance.</li> </ul>         |
|                             | design, construction, and maintenance.   |

| Commitment   | Transportation Master Plan  |
|--|---|
| Establish appropriate<br>operational levels of<br>service for roads                                    | In alignment with the York Region Mobility Guidelines,<br>the City should target to achieve an automobile level of<br>service of D which is represented by a volume to<br>capacity ratio (V/C) of less than 0.85. Note that a V/C<br>ratio of less than 1.0 signifies that a road is operating<br>below capacity whereas a V/C ratio exceeding 1<br>suggests that a road is operating above its capacity.<br>The results derived from transportation modeling, which<br>take into account the projected growth outlined in the<br>Official Plan Update for the year 2051, show that the<br>majority of City roads will function below a V/C of 1 in<br>2051 which indicate that the roads will operate within<br>capacity. Most of the congestion in the city is expected<br>to occur on arterial roads and highways outside the<br>city's jurisdiction.  |
|  | To support active transportation, the TMP identifies<br>several pedestrian and cycling facilities including spine<br>routes that will connect residents to key destinations<br>and facilitate the first and last mile connections with<br>transit. As a general guideline, the City should target to<br>meet or exceed a pedestrian and cycling level of service<br>of at least "C", as defined by the York Region Mobility<br>Plan Guidelines. This represents a road segment where<br>at-least a 1.5m wide sidewalk is available on both sides<br>of the street along with dedicated cycling facilities This<br>standard is achieved by the roadway cross-sections<br>presented in the TMP as well as the City's standards<br>document. By adhering to this guideline, the City can<br>enhance the safety, accessibility, and overall<br>experience of active transportation users, thereby<br>encouraging greater usage of sustainable modes of<br>travel. |
| Reevaluate the project needs from the 2014 TMP   | As part of the Transportation Master Plan Update, all<br>project recommendations from the 2014 TMP have<br>been re-evaluated and updated. The outcomes are<br>presented as part of this report  |
| Consider the use of active<br>transportation and<br>localized improvements to<br>offset project needs; | The TMP proposes an extensive active transportation<br>network that encourages alternative modes of travel,<br>reducing the necessity for traditional road expansions.<br>This approach aligns with the TMP's mobility hierarchy<br>and the "15-minute" City concept outlined in the Official<br>Plan, wherein sustainable modes of transportation such<br>as walking, biking, and transit are prioritized over<br>driving.   |

| Commitment  | Transportation Master Plan   |
|---|--|
|   | To determine project timelines and requirements,<br>various factors are taken into account, including<br>planned growth outlined in the Official Plan Update,<br>alignment with regional and provincial plans, and the<br>development context within the City. Since the majority<br>of the City's growth is concentrated within Major Transit<br>Station Areas (MTSAs), project prioritization focuses on<br>establishing spine routes and key connections for<br>residents, connecting them to destinations like transit<br>hubs and commercial areas.   |
|   | In addition to assessing needs, project prioritization also<br>emphasizes fiscal responsibility by integrating<br>infrastructure projects with development plans. This<br>approach ensures that infrastructure investments are<br>made in a financially sustainable manner, aligning with<br>the City's long-term objectives.  |
| Reevaluate policies with<br>respect to prioritizing local<br>intersection improvements,<br>active transportation<br>infrastructure, and other<br>modes of travel to offset<br>traditional road<br>improvements. | A major focus of the TMP is on sustainable travel and<br>making alternatives to the automobile attractive to<br>accommodate future trips related to growth, recognizing<br>that it is not possible to "build our way out of congestion"<br>with road expansion. The TMP proposes an extensive<br>active transportation network, such as active<br>transportation spine routes that will connect residents to<br>key destinations and facilitate the first and last mile<br>connections with transit, therefore reducing auto<br>demand and the necessity for traditional road<br>expansions. The trend is also supported by the<br>transportation analysis which shows that sustainable<br>modes of transportation, mostly driven by transit, is<br>expected to increase significantly by 2051.<br>While travel preferences in Richmond Hill will vary<br>based on accessibility to alternative options such as<br>walking, cycling, and transit, establishing a general<br>mode split target is important to support the objectives<br>of the Transportation Master Plan and Official Plan<br>Update. In collaboration with the Region and Province,<br>the City should aim is to achieve an overall non-auto<br>mode split of 30% during rush hours and 50% within<br>Major Transit Station Areas by 2051. |
| Reassess partnership<br>project contributions and<br>timing;  | The project timing for key partnership projects such as<br>the Highway 404 mid-block flyovers have been<br>assessed from a needs perspective in alignment with<br>planned development and forecasted growth from the   |

| Commitment  | Transportation Master Plan   |
|---|--|
|   | City's Official Plan Update. The transportation analysis<br>demonstrates that the flyovers would be beneficial for<br>the City of Richmond Hill as it improves local<br>connectivity to the City of Markham, and helps to reduce<br>congestion on the surrounding arterial road network.<br>The proposed timing of these projects are aligned with<br>the 2022 York Region Transportation Master plan and<br>presented in this report.   |
|   | To ensure a fair and balanced distribution of the<br>financial responsibility, it is recommended that the<br>project cost for these flyovers be divided equally among<br>the City of Richmond Hill, City of Markham, and York<br>Region, in accordance with the existing tri-party<br>agreements. This equitable cost-sharing arrangement<br>serves to foster collaboration and strengthen the shared<br>commitment among all parties involved.  |
| Reevaluate the timing of<br>roads projects to ensure<br>alignment with growth and<br>development charge<br>collection to minimize<br>upfront capital costs. | The project timing for all road and road-related projects<br>have been evaluated as part of the Transportation<br>Master Plan Update in alignment with forecasted growth<br>as contemplated by the Official Plan Update. Projects<br>are assigned into three phases, short-term (by 2031),<br>medium-term (by 2041), and long-term (by 2051), and<br>offset where possible to distribute upfront capital costs<br>over time. Project recommendations from the<br>Transportation Master Plan has also informed the<br>Transportation Development Charges Background<br>Study and recommended for development charge<br>collection in accordance with the Development Charges<br>Act and associated regulations. |