

**Extract from Council Public Meeting
C#15-17 held May 3, 2017**

Appendix	A
SRPRS	18.052
File(s)	D01-17001 + D02-17003

Anthony Cremonese, resident of Spruce Avenue, advised of his concerns with the proposed development because the proposed height and density would not fit with the character of the existing neighbourhood, and the proposed local road within the development would encroach on the current homes, and requested that the height of the proposed buildings be reconsidered.

Pat DiMambro, 96 Spruce Avenue, advised of her concerns with the proposed development because of the proposed height and density, impact on area traffic, and because the Town does not have the necessary infrastructure to support these types of developments. Ms. DiMambro requested that the height and density of the proposed development be reduced to better fit with the character of the existing neighbourhood.

Giulio Lavecchia, resident of King City, on behalf of his parents who reside at 94 Spruce Avenue and the residents of 62B Spruce Avenue, advised of their concerns with the proposed development because of the precedent it would set for future applications, proposed height and density, impact on area traffic and property values. Mr. Lavecchia requested that the character of the existing Richvale area be preserved.

Mr. Miketic, 60 Spruce Avenue, advised that he was in agreement with the concerns identified by the previous speakers regarding the proposed development, specifically related to the impact on area traffic and the height of the proposed buildings as it would not fit with the character of the existing neighbourhood.

A resident of 38 Spruce Avenue advised that he was in agreement with the concerns identified by the previous speakers regarding the proposed development, specifically related to the proposed local road and the impact on area traffic.

Janet Croce, 133 Carrville Road, advised of her concerns with the proposed development because of the density, impact on area traffic, and the proposed local road within the development which would encroach on the current homes.

Moved by: Councillor Cilevitz
Seconded by: Regional and Local Councillor Spatafora

That staff report SRPRS.17.078 with respect to the Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment applications submitted by Goldenville Developments Ltd. for lands known as Lots 135, 136, 137, 138, 139 and Part of Lots 134, 285 and 286, Plan 1960 (municipal addresses: 39, 41, 45, 53, 59, 69, 79, 81, 89, 91 and 97 Carrville Road), File Numbers D01-17001 and D02-17003, be received for information purposes only and that all comments be referred back to staff.

Carried Unanimously

FOR YOUR INFORMATION AND ANY ACTION DEEMED NECESSARY

**Extract from Council Public Meeting
C#15-17 held May 3, 2017**

Adjournment

Moved by: Councillor Cilevitz
Seconded by: Councillor Chan

That the meeting be adjourned.

Carried

The meeting was adjourned at 10:10 p.m.